

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/631,409	08/03/2000	Trent J. Brundage	60257	9599
23735 7:	590 06/09/2003			
DIGIMARC CORPORATION 19801 SW 72ND AVENUE SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			BACKER, FIRMIN	
TUALATIN, C	OR 97062		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>`</u> &				
Application No. Applicant(s)					
09/631,409 BRUNDAGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Firmin Backer 3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2000</u> .	•				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2000</u>.</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>2b) This action is non-final.</li> </ul>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-0413) Paper No(s)  6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2000 in which claims 1-17 are presented for examination. Claims 1-17 are pending in the letter.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to bill payment, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
  - II. Claims 10-17, drawn to watermark, classified in class 705, subclass 50.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because they are patentably distinct.
- 3. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409

Art Unit: 3621

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with Steven Stewart on June 3, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claim10-17.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

10. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in

that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. These claim are

omnibus type claim.

11. Claims 10-17 provide for the use of machine, but, since the claim does not set forth any

steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to

encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps

delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use,

without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a

process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for

example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner.

255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409

Art Unit: 3621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

12. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 13. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 14. The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:
  - (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
  - (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass the muster, the recited method must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case the inventive concept in claim 10-17 is directed to a machine readable indicia. However, they fail to produce a useful concrete and tangible result and therefore deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 16. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Antognini et al (U.S. PG Pub 2002/0023055).
- 17. As per claim 10-17, Antognini et al teach and invoice having a machine readable indicia representing plural bits of binary data being generally un-intelligible to human observers thereof, the binary data represented by the indicia serving to indicated and online computer address associate with the invoice (see abstract, fig 2, 3, 7, paragraphs 0053-0061).

#### Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/631,409

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer Examiner

Art Unit 3621

June 4, 2003